

1. Show that there is a unique continuous function on $[0, 1]$ which solves the functional equation

$$\mu(x) = \frac{2}{3}\mu(1 - x^3) + \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{3} \quad \forall x \in [0, 1]$$

(Hint: Contraction mapping!)

Solution. The mapping T defined by

$$Tf(x) = \frac{2}{3}\mu(1 - x^3) + \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{3}$$

clearly maps $C([0, 1])$ to $C([0, 1])$. Further, it is a contraction since

$$\sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |Tf(x) - Tg(x)| = \frac{2}{3} \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |f(x) - g(x)|$$

Since $C([0, 1])$ is complete in the sup norm, the contraction mapping theorem gives the existence of a unique fixed point for the map T in $C([0, 1])$, that is there is a unique continuous function μ solving $T\mu = \mu$.

2. Let $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be the function $x \mapsto \frac{1}{1+x^2}(2x, 1 - x^2)$. An easy calculation shows that

$$d(x, y) \equiv \|\phi(x) - \phi(y)\|_2 = \frac{2|x - y|}{\sqrt{(1 + x^2)(1 + y^2)}}.$$

Show that (\mathbb{Q}, d) is a metric space, and find (with justification) the completion of \mathbb{Q} with respect to this metric. (Hint: What is $\|\phi(x)\|_2$?)

Solution. From $d(x, y) = 2|x - y|/\sqrt{(1 + x^2)(1 + y^2)}$, it is easy to show that $d(x, y) \geq 0 \forall x, y$ and $d(x, y) = 0 \implies x = y$. Also, $d(x, y) = \|\phi(x) - \phi(y)\|_2$, d satisfies the triangle inequality. Thus, (\mathbb{Q}, d) is indeed a metric space.

A direct computation shows that $\|\phi(x)\|_2 = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, so that $\phi : (\mathbb{Q}, d) \rightarrow (S^1, \|\cdot\|_2)$ is an isometry, where $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \|z\|_2 = 1\}$ is the unit circle, which is a closed subset of \mathbb{R}^2 in the topology induced by l^2 norm. Since every closed subset of a complete metric space is also complete, $(S^1, \|\cdot\|_2)$ is a complete metric space.

If $z \in S^1 \neq (0, -1)$, it is easy to see that there exists $x \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $\phi(x) = z$. By continuity of ϕ and the density of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R} , it follows that $\phi(\mathbb{Q})$ is dense in $S^1 \setminus (0, -1)$ in the topology given by the metric $\|\cdot\|_2$. It is also easy to see that $S^1 \setminus (0, -1)$ is dense in S^1 in $\|\cdot\|_2$. Alternatively $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, it is clear that $n \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\phi(n) \rightarrow (0, -1)$ so that $\phi(\mathbb{Q})$ is dense in S^1 .

Putting all of this together, we see that ϕ is extendable to an isometry between the completion of (\mathbb{Q}, d) and $(S^1, \|\cdot\|_2)$. For all $z \in S^1 \neq (0, -1)$, there is a unique $x \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $\phi(x) = z$. We introduce an ideal point, symbolically denoted by ∞ and extend ϕ to $\mathbb{R} \cup \infty$ by $\phi(\infty) = (0, -1)$. Then, the completion can also be identified with $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ with $d(x, y) = \|\phi(x) - \phi(y)\|_2$ so that, for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ continuity of ϕ implies that

$$d(x, y) = \frac{2|x - y|}{\sqrt{(1 + x^2)(1 + y^2)}}.$$

If $y = \infty$, we have

$$d(x, \infty) = \|\phi(x) - (0, -1)\|_2 = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1 + x^2}}$$

3. $f_n : (X, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a sequence of measurable functions. Show that

$$\{x \mid \liminf_k \inf_{n \geq k} f_n(x) < t\} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} \left\{ x \mid f_n(x) < t - \frac{1}{j} \right\}$$

and use this to conclude that $f(x) = \liminf_n f_n(x)$ is a measurable function.

Solution. This is a standard argument so the proof is a little sketchy.

If $\lim_k \inf_{n \geq k} f_n(y) < t$, it follows that there exists a subsequence $f_{n_m}(y)$ which converges to a limit less than t . Consequently, there is a $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and an $M \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. for all $m \geq M$, it follows that $f_{n_m}(y) < t - 1/p$. Since $n_m \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an index m s.t. $n_m \geq k$, i.e.

$$y \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} \left\{ x \mid f(x) < t - \frac{1}{p} \right\}.$$

Since $p \in \mathbb{N}$, it also follows that

$$y \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} \left\{ x \mid f(x) < t - \frac{1}{j} \right\}.$$

thereby proving that

$$\{x \mid \liminf_k \inf_{n \geq k} f_n(x) < t\} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} \left\{ x \mid f(x) < t - \frac{1}{j} \right\}$$

Conversely, assume that $\lim_k \inf_{n \geq k} f_n(y) \geq t$. Then, it follows that for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an $K(j) < \infty$ s.t. $k \geq K(j) \implies \inf_{n \geq k} f_n(y) > t - 1/j$, i.e. for all $n \geq K$, $f_n(y) > t - 1/j$. Thus, $y \notin \bigcup_{n=K(j)}^{\infty} \{x \mid f_n(x) < t - 1/j\}$.

In particular, this implies that $y \notin \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} \{x \mid f_n(x) < t - 1/j\}$. Since this argument works for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, it also follows that

$$y \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} \left\{ x \mid f(x) < t - \frac{1}{j} \right\}.$$

Combining this with the earlier argument proves the claim.

4.

$$A = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in l^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{N}) \mid \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^i |x_i|^2 \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Show that A is compact in the metric topology on l^2 .

Solution. Note that, if $\mathbf{x} \in A$, then $|y_i| \leq 2^{-i/2}$, which also implies that, for all $\epsilon > 0$, there is an index k , *only depending on ϵ* and not on the particular \mathbf{y} s.t.

$$\left[\sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 \right]^{1/2} < \epsilon/2.$$

We can use this observation to show that for all $\epsilon > 0$, there is a finite ϵ net i.e., a finite set $S^\epsilon \subset A$ s.t. for all $\mathbf{y} \in A$, there is an index $\mathbf{x} \in S^\epsilon$ s.t. $\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\| < \epsilon$. Then you can follow the arguments from the homework to show that A is compact because A is a complete metric space with a finite ϵ net for all $\epsilon > 0$.

Let k be as above, i.e. $\mathbf{y} \in A \implies \left[\sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty} |x_i|^2 \right]^{1/2} < \epsilon/2$. Let $A' \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ be the set

$$A' = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k \mid \sum_{i=1}^k 2^i |x_i|^2 \leq 1 \right\}.$$

A' is closed and bounded in \mathbb{R}^k , and is hence compact. It follows, by considering the finite subcover of the covering $\{B_{\epsilon/2}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x} \in A'\}$, that there is a finite set T^ϵ s.t. for all $\mathbf{y} \in A'$, there is an $\mathbf{x} \in T^\epsilon$ s.t. $\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\| < \epsilon/2$.

Define S^ϵ as the set of all l^2 sequences obtained by taking the elements of T^ϵ and appending zeros for all indices greater than k . If $\mathbf{y} \in A$, let \mathbf{y}' denote the k -tuple of the first k elements. Then $\mathbf{y}' \in A'$ and is thus within $\epsilon/2$ of one of the elements of T^ϵ , or equivalently the initial k -tuple from one of the elements in S^ϵ . Combining this with our initial observation, we see that S^ϵ is a finite ϵ -net. Next, we argue that $(A, \|\cdot\|_2)$ is a complete metric space. Since $l^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{N})$ is complete, it suffices to show that A is closed. Assume that $\mathbf{x}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}$ in $l^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{N})$. Since $\|\mathbf{x}^{(n)} - \mathbf{x}\|_2 \geq |x_i^{(n)} - x_i|$ for each index $i \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that for each index i , $x_i^{(n)} \rightarrow x_i$, so that $2^i |x_i^{(n)}|^2 \rightarrow 2^i |x_i|^2$. Fatou's lemma now implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^i |x_i|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \liminf_n 2^i |x_i^{(n)}|^2 \leq \liminf_n \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^i |x_i^{(n)}|^2 \leq 1$$

so that $\mathbf{x} \in A$. Thus A is a closed subset of a complete metric space and is hence complete. (You can argue this directly using a Cauchy sequence in A and Fatou's lemma on the pointwise limit, exactly as we did here).

Now, we use the result from the hw.

Proposition. (A, d) is a complete metric space and it has a finite ϵ -net for all $\epsilon > 0$. Then A is compact.

Therefore, A is compact in the metric topology on l^2 .

5. Compute the following limit or show that it doesn't exist

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{k}{n^2}\right)^n$$

Carefully justify all your steps, making sure you state the names of any convergence theorems that you use.

Solution. Since we are summing many $O(1)$ terms and then dividing by the total number of terms, we should interpret these objects as “Riemann-like” sums approximating an integral. To do this, we let $k = \lceil nx \rceil$ where $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Then the sums can be recast as

$$t_n = \int_0^1 \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \frac{\lceil nx \rceil}{n}\right)^n dx$$

Using $x \leq \frac{\lceil nx \rceil}{n} \leq x + 1/n$ and $(1 + \theta/n)^n < e^\theta$, we see that the integrand is dominated uniformly by e^x , and further it converges pointwise to e^x as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, the dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{k}{n^2}\right)^n = \int_0^1 e^x dx = e - 1.$$